

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

1746

Inventor(s): CHEN et al.

Appln. No.: 09

822,831

Series Code ↑

Serial No. ↑

Filed: April 2, 2001

Hon. Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

REPLY/AMENDMENT/LETTER

Group Art Unit

1746

Examiner:

J. Crepeau

Atty. Dkt.

P

280083

PJS/LAJ/P8339US

Appln. Title:

CONDUCTING POLYMER-CARBON
NANOTUBE COMPOSITE MATERIALS
AND THEIR USES

Date: March 7, 2003

This is a reply/amendment/letter in the above-identified application and includes the herewith attachment of same date and subject which is incorporated hereinto by reference and the signature below is treated as the signature to the attachment in absence of a signature thereto.

FEE REQUIREMENTS FOR CLAIMS AS AMENDED

1. Small Entity claim

- A. ☐ NOT made
B. ☐ Withdrawn
C. ☐ made herewith
D. ☒ made previously

For B & C
See **Required**
Separate Paper
(Pat-256)

Claims remaining after amendment	Highest number previously paid for	Present Extra	Large/Small Entity	Additional Fee	Fee Code Lg/Sm
2. Total Effective Claims	26	**minus 26	0	x \$18/\$9 = + \$0	103/203
3. Independent Claims	5	***minus 5	0	x \$84/\$42 = + \$0	102/202
4. If amendment enters proper multiple dependent claim(s) into this application for first time (leave blank if this is a reissue application) add				+ \$280/\$140 = + \$0	104/204
5. Original due Date:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NONE				
6. Petition is hereby made to extend the original due date to cover the date this response is filed for which the requisite fee is attached	(1 mo)	\$110/\$55 =	+ \$0		115/215
	(2 mos)	\$410/\$205 =			116/216
	(3 mos)	\$930/\$465 =			117/217
	(4 mos)	\$1,450/\$725 =			118/218
	(5 mos)	\$1,970/\$985 =			128/228
7. Enter any previous extension fee paid since above original due date and subtract			- \$0		
8.			Extension Fee	+ \$0	
9. If Terminal Disclaimer attached, add Rule 20(d) official fee			+ \$110/\$55	+ \$0	148/248
10. If IDS attached requires Official Fee under Rule 97 (c), add			+ \$180	+ \$0	126
or if Rule 97(d) Request add			+ \$180		126
11. After-Final Request Fee per rules 129(a) and 17(r)			+ \$750/370	+ \$0	146/246
12. No. of additional inventions for examination per Rule 129(b).....			x \$750/375 ea	+ \$0	149/249
13. Request for Continued Examination (RCE)			+ \$750/375	+ \$0	1179/1279
14. Petition fee for				+ \$0	
15.			TOTAL FEE =	\$0	

16. *If the entry in this space is less than entry in next space, the "Present Extra" result is "0".

17. **If the "Highest number previously paid for" in this space is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

18. ***If the "Highest number previously paid for" in this space is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

**PLEASE CHARGE
OUR DEP. ACCT**

Our Deposit Account No. 03-3975)

(Our Order No. 011765

C#

M#

CHARGE STATEMENT: The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any missing or insufficient fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (missing or insufficiencies only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting Official Document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Accounting/Order Nos. shown above, for which purpose a duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

This CHARGE STATEMENT does not authorize charge of the issue fee until/unless an issue fee transmittal sheet is filed.

Query: Is appeal deadline now? If so, file Notice of Appeals separately.

Pillsbury Winthrop LLP
Intellectual Property Group

By Atty: Jack S. Barufka

Sig:

Reg. No. 37087

Fax: (703) 905-2500

Tel: (703) 905-2012

P.O. Box 10500

McLean, VA 22102

Tel: (703) 905-2000

Atty/Sec: JSB/VPH:ksh

NOTE: File this cover sheet in duplicate with PTO receipt (PAT-103A) and attachments



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

#8
B17
3/12/3

In re Patent Application of)

CHEN et al.)

Serial No. 09/822,831)

Group Art Unit: 1746

Filed: April 2, 2001)

Examiner: J. Crepeau

Title: **CONDUCTING POLYMER-CARBON NANOTUBE COMPOSITE
MATERIALS AND THEIR USERS**

* * *

March 7, 2003

**AFFIRMATION OF ORAL RESPONSE
TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
MAR 11 2003
TC 1700 MAIL ROOM

Sir:

On February 10, 2003, Examiner Crepeau telephoned the undersigned to issue an oral Restriction Requirement for the above-captioned design patent application. The Examiner identified the following distinct groups: (1) Group I, directed to claims 1-16; and (2) Group II, directed to claims 17-26.


On February 20, 2003, the undersigned telephoned Examiner Crepeau to elect Group II, claims 17-26, with traverse. Specifically, Applicants objected to the restriction groups because claims 1-16 are directed to a method for producing an electronically conducting polymer composite material, claim 17 is directed to a product produced by the method of claims 1-16; and claims 18-26 are directed to an electrical energy storage device in which the product of the method claims are made/stored. These groups clearly are directed to the same basic invention and should not require separate searches.

Therefore, while the Applicants elect Group II, the Applicants respectfully request that the restriction be withdrawn.

If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of this paper that are not otherwise accounted for, please charge our Deposit Account No. 03-3975 and refer to Order No. 011765/0280083.

Respectfully submitted

PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP

By 

Jack S. Barufka

Reg. No.: 37087

Tel. No.: (703) 905-2012

Fax No.: (703) 905-2500

JSB/VPH:ksh

P.O. Box 10500
McLean, VA 22102
(703) 905-2000